FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISfcDICTION

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.124 OF 2006
WITH CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.46/2006

Office notes, office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr. Vikas Shivarkar i/by Mahesh Zanwar for the Applicant.

Mr.S.S.Tarkare, APP. for the State.

CORAM : R.S. MOHITE,J.
DATED : 10/3/2006.

P.C.

1. I have perused the impugned judgment and order. Only point which is raised here is that the complainant who had filed complaint has not stepped into the witness box and in fact her husband who is power of attorney holder stepped into the witness box. Law is well settled that the power of attorney can depose as a witness when he is aware of the facts of the case. In the present case the power of attorney holder has stated that he knows all the facts of the case. There is no

substance in the revision, Hence, revision application is rejected.

Cri. Application No.46/2006

1. As the main revision application is rejected, this application does not survive. Hence, this application is rejected.

(R. S. MOHITE, J.)